Challenging the Status Quo Paradigm

Paradigm:

- a. a framework containing the basic assumptions, ways of thinking, and methodology that are commonly accepted by members of a scientific community.
- 2. such a cognitive framework shared by members of any discipline or group:
- 3. Informal. a general mental model or framework for anything.
- Dictionary.com

I was inspired recently by a group of 20 medical doctors and scientists who are challenging the accepted status quo paradigm that a virus of any kind has never been proven to exist. Over 220 different virology labs around the world, in addition to the CDC, have received and responded to FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) requests. They were asked to show any evidence that a virus from an infected person has ever been properly isolated. So far, there is NO RECORD! None. Zero.

If the truth is that there is no virus nor has there ever been a virus of any kind, then the whole Covid-19 virus epidemic was a fake and a fraud. Furthermore, all vaccines including the Covid "vaccine" and boosters are worthless, do not provide immunity against viral infection and, as we now know, are very dangerous to life and health.

The supposed medical and scientific world, which claims to be "the experts" on the existence of the virus, continues to support and promote their unproven paradigm. Not one lab can provide a properly isolated virus, although this paradigm is ferociously guarded and those who question it are mocked and berated. Such is the typical reaction from personally threatened "experts" who try to defend the undefendable.

Today's Theological Paradigm

We have the same type of situation today in Catholic and Protestant theology which advocates and promotes the universality of the Bible – that it is about all races and racial subtypes on the planet. This is their theological paradigm, which is believed or *assumed* to be true, correct and certain – just like virologists who claim that viruses exist.

So I thought I would pose these questions as an open invitation to "biblical scholars" and pastors to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Bible is about all races on the planet, from the European Caucasian to the African race (and their varieties), to the Asian race (and their varieties), to the Indians (and their varieties), to the Australian aborigines, and so forth.

Answer the Following Questions

- 1. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the Adam of Genesis 1 and 2 was the first father of all the existing races and racial subtypes today?
- 2. Can you provide the biblical evidence that all the races and racial subtypes that exist today came into being from Noah and his sons?
- 3. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the term translated "nations" in Genesis 10 includes all the "people groups" that are called "nations" today, that is, all races that comprise these modern-day nations?
- 4. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the unconditional covenant promises God made to Abraham were not directly and exclusively inherited by his son Isaac, grandson Jacob, and his 12 sons (the tribes of Israel)?
- 5. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the exclusive conditional Mosaic covenant was made with anyone other than the physical descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob?

- 6. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the New Covenant in Jesus Christ is for anyone other than the house of Israel and the house of Judah?
- 7. Can you provide the biblical evidence that the throne of David was not given to Jesus or that He would not reign exclusively over the house of Jacob (all Israel) forever?
- 8. Can you provide the biblical evidence to prove that the "Gentiles" according to Romans 9:22-26 and I Peter 2:9-10 are identified with any other race or racial subtype other than the house of Israel brought back to God through the New Covenant in Jesus Christ?

Let me stop there. If there is no evidence that the Bible is for and about anyone other than the physical descendants of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, then the present-day theological paradigm that there is a "spiritual Israel" (inclusive of all races) is false.

Such a belief may dovetail very nicely with the anti-White, tolerance, diversity, and inclusion doctrine of the day, but it is not biblical.

I am thankful for my former seminary professor, Dr. Donald Burdick, who used to constantly challenge his students: "What does the Bible say?"

The Authentic Gospel

I have written a comprehensive defense of the exclusive nature of the biblical gospel in my book, <u>The Authentic Gospel: Restoring the Original Intent</u>. It challenges the modern-day scholars, Christian institutions, churches, denominations, and the entire missionary movement to re-think the gospel according to Jesus and His Apostles. The book is the sequel to the entire <u>Covenant Heritage Series</u> of seven books examining the biblical text from a historical and sequential systematic study.

I commend it to you. And please consider an investment to send it to professors and professing church leadership or anyone else who you think needs this challenge – whether you think they will accept it or not.

Frankly, like the virologists who arrogantly hold on to the fake virus theory paradigm, so the modern theological paradigm of a racially-inclusive gospel paradigm must be challenged and dismantled. Otherwise, like the fraud and great harm of the fake Covid virus scare as it continues unabated, the misrepresentation of the theological paradigm completely nullifies God's original intention and Kingdom plan and purpose.

Is this not the reason we continue in a state of chaos, subjugation, and dissolution as a civilization? We must have a course correction now. It begins with the answer to a very simple question: What does the Bible say?

Lawrence Blanchard, ND, MDiv
Author of the Covenant Heritage Series
Creator of the Bible Mastery Boot Camp